UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,450	08/08/2005	Sam Reisenfeld	18685-002US1	9849
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 05/22/200 ARDSON. PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			MAI, TAN V	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,450	REISENFELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan V. Mai	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection is objected.	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached office	7. CHOT OF TOTAL 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The abstract should be limited to to a single paragraph within the range of 50 to 150 words.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Claims 8-10, 12-13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 8-10, 12 and 20, the periods "." at the ends are missing.

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 for being non-statutory as not being properly classified under one of the statutory category of inventions.

In order for a process claim to be considered statutory, the process claim **must first** be either 1) structurally tied to another statutory class (such as a **particular**apparatus) or 2) transform underlying subject matter to a different state or thing (*In re Bilski*). Claim 1 detail steps for estimating the frequency of a single frequency complex tone. None of the cited steps are structurally tied to another statutory class (such as a

particular apparatus). The dependent claims add nothing to solve this problem and therefore are non-statutory also. Thus, claims 1-29 are not directed to a statutory process.

Claims 1-23, 25-27 are rejected under 101 for being non-statutory as not fitting one of the statutory category of inventions and being directed to software per se. All of the limitations cited are capable of being software structures (e.g., see claims 23 and 27); and therefore the claims are software per se.

In addition, claims 1-29 all detail limitations that are directed toward a mathematical algorithm and not a practical application of that algorithm. Thus, while the claimed invention may useful and concrete, it fails produce a tangible result.

In sum, claims 1-29 are not directed to a tangible result nor do they transform an article or physical object. The claimed "computing" steps do not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The computation result is not applied to produce a tangible result. The claims also do not present a tangible result of a practical application but does provide a useful and concrete result.

Therefore, claims 1-29 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,450 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock, can be reached on (571) 272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

/Tan V Mai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193